# U.S. News & World Report

WASHINGTON

2300 N STREET, N W. . WASHINGTON, D. C. 20037

May 2, 1977

Admiral Stansfield Turner Director of Central Intelligence Washington, D. C. 20505

Dear Stan:

Enclosed is the edited version of the interview with you which we are planning to publish in the next issue of the magazine. Please go through it and make any corrections that are required.

In view of developments in Ethiopia in recent days I think that it is important that we deal with that situation in connection with Soviet activities in Africa. I suggest that we insert questions on page 10 before the question on the Cubans. If you could dictate answers to the following two questions we will insert them there: (1) What about Ethiopia? Are the Russians establishing another toehold in Africa at the expense of the U.S.? (2) Is this a serious setback for the U.S.?

I would appreciate it if we could have your corrected copy of the interview together with the additional questions and answers by Tuesday night, if possible, or, at the very latest, first thing Wednesday morning. If you will have Herb Hetu's office phone me -- 333-7400, Ext. 575 -- when the approved version of the interview is ready we will arrange to have it picked up promptly.

Again, Stan, I'm most grateful that you were able to get over here to our office for the interview, which, I think, provides an authoritative and highly informative overview of the world scene.

With kindest personal regards, I am

Sincerely,

oseph Gromm

pepty Editor

JF:h Enclosure U.S.NEWS & WORLD REPORT

Washington, D.C. April 22, 1977

RIGINAL

Q Admiral Turner, do you agree with the view expressed by some high officials in recent years that the Soviet

Union is an ascending power and the U.S. is declining?

A The Soviets have their strengths and they have their weaknesses. ANN Their weaknesses are in economics and politics. I don't see know the Soviet economy ix climbing to outdistance us. Our lead is so great that they cannot hope to overtake us unless our percentage of growth every were to be year/MAN a lot smaller than theirs. And that is not happening. So in terms of raw economic power we are not a declining power.

As for ideology, the Russians may think it is a strengthy for them but I am sure we would all agree that their ideology is hamstringing them in many ways. After all, what's left of pure Marxism? Where is it practiced or believed in?

You have a different brand of Communism in every country in Europe -- and a different brand in Yugoslavia, a different

brand in China. Even in the Soviet Union, they don't hold to it very carefully. So -- no, I don't think the Soviets are on the ascendancy ideologically.

- Q And militarily?
- A They have a strong military position. One of the reasons they are putting such emphasis on their military strength military power is that they are trying to convert/xxt into xx political advantage. They have no other strengths that they can exploit that in Africa and elsewhere. Military is all/they have.

  Q Is the U.S. falling behind Russia in military power?

  A In my view, we still have the edge in the strategic nuclear field as a result of our preponderance of warheads the and/accuracy of our missiles. However, the trends are moving in the other direction because of the substantial effort

58

could

the Soviets are putting into strategic weapons. If that

continues, they/wxxx close the warhead gap and outdistance

us in what is known as throw weight. The complex equation

as to when those trends might give the Soviets a militarily superior position is very difficult to state -- given the fact that you're balancing numbers of warheads, accuracies and throw weight in the same mix.

Q Are the Soviets near the point where they could knock out our land-based missile force with a first-strike attack as some strategists claim?

that first,
So I think/AWXXXXX we must understand the nuclear strasecond
tegic equation as best we can. And,/NXXXX, the United States
balance
must not let it get out of/WXXXX in fact or in perception.

that
I don't think/the people of this country are going to let
the Soviets outdistance us in a dangerous way. But we've
got to be vigilant as to that.

Q We've heard a great deal lately about Russia's massive civil defense program. Is there any danger that this will give them a decisive strategic advantage over us?

Q Aside from the idea of a "first strike," are the Soviets

deliberateness.

TURNER IVU - 5

thinking and planning in terms of actually fighting a nu-

clear war rather than just deterring one?

A The difference that I note between them and us is this

The Soviets in their planning start with cold war and think

the process through all the way to a strategic nuclear war

and even to a postwar recovery. We, on the other hand, tend to

think XXXX from cold war to deterrence. There's less empha-

sis in our thinking on what happens after the nuclear weapons

start going off because the idea is so abhorrent.

It's a different psychological attitude. Maybe it comes

from the fact that the Russians are from a country that's

been attacked and overrun a number of times in their memory.

So they have more of an inclination to think through the

implications of someone attacking them.

Q Are they more inclined to contemplate resorting to nuclear

war to achieve their political objectives?

A I think not. I think they have shown a rational, sensible

approach to the nuclear weapons problem -- a willingness,

for example, to negotiate SALT-type agreements.

- more -

TURNER IVU - 7

58

Q In your opinion, where do the Soviets pose the greatest threat for the United States today?

Q What's the Soviet objective in Africa?

most serious threat -- but not the most urgent.

A I think that all over the world the basically imperialistic thrust of the Soviet Union is one of opportunism. They are very adroit in the sense of pushing their opportunities wherever they develop, but not pushing them to the point where it involves a major commitment of Soviet resources or prestige if they fail. They've found that NATO has stymied their imperialistic expansionism in Western Europe. And so they're probing each opportunity that comes up anywhere to get a foothold or friendship.

start with a fishing fleet calling in at a Somalian port.

Then they offer aid to the Somalian Army. The army stages a coup and a general takes over as President of the country.

Then the Soviets build the fishing port into a naval base — and on and on in gradual steps. They look constantly for an opportunity for that first step — a fishing agreement or a trade agreement — and then they just keep pushing

committing but without/committing themselves in a major way.

Q How successful have they been with this strategy?

A Only moderately successful. They've established three

toeholds that seem to be useful to them in Africa. They

had a toehold in Guinea for six years or so and seem to

be hanging on there. They've had one for a short time in

Angola and they're doing all right there. There's no major

Soviet presence but the Angolans are still co-operating

with them. And the Soviets have had a fairly strong posi-

tion in Somalia for seven or eight years and it seems to

be holding. They're beginning to explore other opportunit

ties -- for example, in southern and eastern Africa with

the visit of President Podgorny.

On the other hand, the Russians have failed in Egypt.

They ve lost a major position there. Outside Africa, they

failed some years ago in Indonesia. Their relations with

Syria are not as warm as they were several years ago. So

they are not always adroit enough to do this well. Basically they lack the economic foundation to be an imperialistic power.

Q Are the Russians using Cuba in black Africa as a Soviet tool or are the Cubans there for their own ends?

and elsewhere in the third world. However, I don't think that they could afford economically to indulge in these activities without considerable support from the Soviet Union. The Russians, by operating with a surrogate, get an opportunity to establish an African foothold without necessarily committing themselves too much.

Q Admiral Turner, why are we so worried about the Indian
Ocean considering the relative weakness of Soviet naval

strength there?

Q In that case, why do the Russians maintain a naval

A I think their presence in the Indian Ocean is symptomatic of their desire to leapfrog out to gain influence in other areas of the world while they're stalemated in Europe.

Now, you can talk about their continuing naval presence

- Q Do you see any danger that the Russians will be able to break the stalemate in Europe to their advantage?

  A No, at this point I don't, although I recognize that some of our allies are facing difficult political and economic problems today.
- Q What about the situation in Eastern Europe? How dangerous is it for the Soviets?

A It varies from country to country. Since Helsinki there has been a stirring of thought behind the Iron Curtain. Yet, basically one has the feeling that the dictatorial controls in those countries will be exercised ruthlessly as requirements dictate. There could be internal problems for the Soviets -- as there have been in Hungary and Poland and Czechoslovakia. But I don't see a real possibility of a major fracturing of the Soviet bloc.

Q Do you expect the Soviets to make a grab for Yugoslavia after Tito's death?

A I think that Yugoslavia is the most fragile point in the European scene today. I would think that the Soviets would look for an opportunity and probe without getting themselves overcommitted.

- more -

- Q Do you anticipate a Russian military move to force Yugo-slavia back into the Soviet bloc?
- A That would be a very definite commitment by the Soviets and it would be taken only as a last resort. They would try a lot of other things first before they contemplated that.
- Q Turning to Russia's other flank -- in the Far East -- are the Soviets and Chinese likely to patch up their quarrel in the near future?
- A That is always a possibility when you are dealing with countries that operate on such an expedient basis as the Soviets did in their relations with Nazi Germany before World War II. But I don't see it on the immediate horizon. Even if it happened, I doubt if it would be anything more than an expedient. The fissure between these two countries is quite deep.
- Q President Carter proposes to withdraw U.S. ground forces

from South Korea. Will that affect China's relations with

Russia or its attitude toward this country?

would

Nof course, it/wixi have an effect on Chinese attitudes

were
if that decision/is made and executed. How important it

would

with be will be largely dependent on how and when a with
drawal takes place -- if it does -- and what changes occur

on the world scene in the interim. It's pretty difficult

to speculate in the abstract until some policy decision is

made here as to how and when it's going to take place --

Q Will such a withdrawal be seen as an American retreat from Asia by Japan and other U.S. allies?

if it does.

A Again, it depends on how it's done and whether the preparatory steps can persuade those countries that it's not a retreat from Asia. Those who are looking to us for a security function out there would be bound to think of it

as something of a retreat. But the status quo is not always

the right answer. Anytime you change something it's going

to be approved by some and disapproved by others.

STAT

Q One further point about the Soviet Union: What is your reading of Brezhnev's health? Is he about finished as recent reports suggest?

A My reading of Brezhnev's health is that it's a sine curve that goes up and down. Sometimes he wears himself out a bit or he has a particular problem, but I don't see this as

Approved For Release 2004/09/28 : CIA-RDP88-01314R000300270009-5

5.8

- a \*\*\*\*\* curve that's constantly declining and has a terminal date that can be anticipated. It's not such that we have to sit here and plan, "Well, in 12 months or 24 months we're bound to have somebody new."
- Q Are there any signs of a power struggle for the succession in the Kremlin?
- A No, I don't read the signs that way at this point.
- Q Would a leadership change have any significant effect on Soviet-American relations?
- Q Turning to your own situation at the CIA, Admiral Turner:

to proceed with things like SALT.

Abproved For Release 2004/09/28 : CIA-RDP88-01314R000300270009-5

58

circumstances in which the country might demand some covert action.

- What circumstances?
- For instance, let's say a terrorist group appears with
- a nuclear weapon and threatens one of our cities and says,
- "If you don't give us some money or release some prisoners
- or do something, we will blow up Washington, D. C." I think

the country would be incensed if we did not have a covert-

action capability to try to counter that, to go in and get

the weapon or defuse it.

So, although we don't exercise it today, I think WEXWE

must

covert actions 

actions

- Q What do you intend to do to rehabilitate the CIA after
- these past couple years of scandals and investigations?
- The first thing I want to do is to get people to concen-

Approved For Release 2004/09/28: CIA-RDP88-01314R000300270009-5

#### Approved For Release 2004/09/28: CIA-RDP88-01314R000300270009-5

HURNER IVU - 20

58

trate on tomorrow -- focus on the future. Also I hope to

Insert new piece I wrote

covert actions
from the CIA so that people understand that MANTENTE For instance,
are under very close control. If any member of the intelligence community thinks that I am doing anything improper,
he can go directly to an oversight board without telling
me and say, "Turner is up to something he shouldn't be up
to." I intend to continue emphasizing strongly propriety
and legality.

(END INTERVIEW)



Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D.C. 20505 (703) 351-7676

Herbert E. Hetu Assistant for Public Affairs

NEW Q + A WRITTEN
BY DCI AT JOE
FROMMIS INVITATION COULD BE SUBSTITUTED
FOR THE LAST Q+ A
AS IT NOW APPEARS

#### Approved For Release 2004/09/28: CIA-RDP88-01314R000300270009-5

- Q: Your declassification of the reports on energy appear to be part of a new policy of using intelligence to support policy decisions. Is that the case?
- A. That is definitely not the case. This study was started over a year ago, before even the election. The President did not know of it until a few days before he mentioned it in a press conference. Let me say, though, that I believe that the Intelligence Community should make more information available to the public on an unclassified basis. The public is paying for our work and deserves to benefit from it within the necessary limits of secrecy. Moreover, a well informed public is the greatest strength of our nation. I also believe that declassifying as much information as possible is a good way to provide better protection for those secrets we must hold. Excessive classification simply breeds disrespect for and abuse of all classified data. I intend to continue to declassify and publish information of value and interest to our people.

#### Approved For Release 2004/09/28 : CIA-RDP88-01314R000300270009-5

- Q. What about Ethiopia? Are the Russians establishing another toehold in Africa at the expense of the U.S.?
- A. There is no doubt that Soviet ties with Ethiopia's present Leftist regime are close. At the same time, however, the apparent Soviet gains in Ethiopia may lead to a deterioration in its formerly close relations with Somalia.
- Q. Is this a serious setback for the U.S.?
- A. The policy followed by the present Ethiopian government since it came to power in 1974 has been increasingly Leftist and anti-Western, as well as being in violation of the most basic human rights within Ethiopia. While we regret to see a loosening in our long-standing ties with the Ethiopian people, it is unlikely -- given the present regime in Addis Ababa -- that the U.S. could have prevented this cooling in relations. We can hope for an eventual restoration of friendly links to Ethiopia.

## Approved For Release 2004/09/28 : CIA-RDP88-01314R000300270009-5

- Q. What truth is there to the report that the Russians have made a breakthrough in developing a beam that could destroy all of our missiles?
- A. The question of Soviet development of a charged particle beam weapon has been the subject of intensive analysis for a number of years. All the results of these studies have been made available to high-level U.S. Government officials on a continuing basis. The Central Intelligence Agency does not believe the Soviet Union has achieved a breakthrough which could lead to a charged particle beam weapon capable of neutralizing ballistic missiles. This question is obviously of concern to the U.S. Government and is continually under review by all members of the Intelligence Community.

Approved For Release 2004/09/28: CIA-RDP88-013-14R000300270009-5 Report

P-Frenum, To seph

(origunder 5 oc)



Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D.C. 20505 (703) 351-7676

Herbert E. Hetu Assistant for Public Affairs

5-4-77

Jere is corrected interview - an additional A+ A which Stanwoote at your smotation—the answers to the Ethiopian questions posed in your letter—and lastly, your answer on the Soviet beam development (as

proved For Release 2004/09/28 :

### Approved For Release 2004/09/28: CIA-RDP88-01314R000300270009-5



Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D.C. 20505 (703) 351-7676

Herbert E. Hetu Assistant for Public Affairs

PROPOSED ANSWERS TO

ETHIOPIAN QUESTIONS

PREPARED BY DDI

NEED TO BE TURNERIZED



MEMORANDUM FOR: Mr Hetu
Attached are preposed
answers to the US News
two additional questions.
This has been coordinated
with ORPA
is the Ethipsian expert.
•
FORM 101 USE PREVIOUS 5-75 101 EDITIONS

STAT

STAT